Inverting the Bipolar Differential Pair for Low-Voltage Applications

Bradley A. Minch

Mixed Analog-Digital VLSI Circuits and Systems Lab Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853–5401

minch@ece.cornell.edu

May 27, 2002



Differential Pairs

- The differential pair is used ubiquitously, but does not perform well with a low supply voltage.
- The minimum allowable $V_{\rm cm}$ is approximately $V_{\text{diode}} + V_{\text{CEsat}}$.
- To improve linearity, we introduce emitter-degeneration resistors, which increase the linear range from a few $U_{\rm T}$ to about $I_{\rm b}R$.
- However, the minimum allowable $V_{\rm cm}$ is also increased to about $V_{\text{diode}} + I_{\text{b}}R + V_{\text{CEsat}}$.
- We present a new bipolar differential pair with comparable linearity for which this voltage is the minimum allowable supply voltage.









Conventional Rail-to-Rail Differential Input Stages

- The *pnp* differential pair has a complementary common-mode input-voltage range to the *npn* version.
- To build a rail-to-rail input stage, we can use a differential pair of each type, combining I_{1n} with I_{2p} and I_{2n} with I_{1p} .
- To get a constant differential transconductance, we must control the tailcurrents.
- The minimum supply voltage for such a circuit is $2V_{\text{diode}} + 2V_{\text{CEsat}}$.







Inverted Differential Pair

- In the conventional differential pair, we maintain the transistors' transconductances nearly constant despite large changes in V_{cm} by keeping $I_1 + I_2 = I_b$.
- A current comparison between $I_1 + I_2$ and I_b occurs implicitly at node V. V adjusts itself until $I_1 + I_2 = I_b$.
- In the *inverted differential pair*, we also maintain $I_1 + I_2 = I_b$ using local feedback, except that we do so *indirectly* using replicas of the output currents.
- If the current flowing in the resistors is small, $I_1 + I_2 \approx I_b$.







Inverted Differential Pair

- V attains its maximal value when $V_{\rm cm}$ is at its minimum.
- If $V_{\rm cm}$ increases, V decreases by about 1/m times as much to compensate the increase in $I_1 + I_2$.
- By making m = 2 or 3, we compress the swing on V, leaving ample headroom to keep Q_{1a} and Q_{1b} active.
- Q_{1b} and Q_{2b} have their emitters at \bullet The bases are fixed by feedback, making ground, permitting a wide output swing.



 $R_{\rm in} \approx R$. We can also use them as summing points, coupling in additional inputs.





Behaves Like an Emitter-Degenerated Differential Pair

 $V_1 \circ$

• Emitter-degenerated differential pair

$$I_{1} = I_{s}e^{(V_{1}-V)/U_{T}}e^{-\alpha I_{1}R/U_{T}}$$
$$I_{2} = I_{s}e^{(V_{2}-V)/U_{T}}e^{-\alpha I_{2}R/U_{T}}$$
$$I_{b} = \alpha (I_{1}+I_{2}),$$



$$I_{1} = I_{s}e^{(V_{1}+V)/(1+m)U_{T}}e^{-2I_{1}R/\beta(1+m)U_{T}}$$

$$I_{2} = I_{s}e^{(V_{2}+V)/(1+m)U_{T}}e^{-2I_{2}R/\beta(1+m)U_{T}}$$

$$I_{b} = \left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)(I_{1}+I_{2}) - (I_{in1}+I_{in2}),$$

$$I_{b} = \left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)(I_{1}+I_{2}) - (I_{in1}+I_{in2}),$$

$$I_{b} = \left(1+\frac{2}{\beta}\right)(I_{1}+I_{2}) - (I_{in1}+I_{in2}),$$







 $I_{\rm b}$, these systems are with $-V \rightarrow V$, $U_{\rm T} \rightarrow$ and $\alpha R \rightarrow 2R/\beta$.



Measured DC Characteristics with $I_b = 5 \text{ mA}$ and $R = 10 \text{ k}\Omega$









 $I_{\text{in1}} - I_{\text{in2}}$ $V_{\rm cm} = 1.2 \, \rm V$ $V_{\rm cm} = 0 \, \rm V$ $+I_{in2}$ 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 $V_{\rm dm}({\rm V})$ ୲ୄ୲୶ୄ୵୷ୖୖ୲ 0.2 0.4 0.6 0 0.8 $V_{\rm dm}({\rm V})$

6

Measured Differential Transconductance Gain and Input Resistance







7

Summary

We have presented a new bipolar differential transconductor that functions just like an emitterdegenerated differential pair except that it

- can operate on a low power supply voltage,
- has a rail-to-rail common-mode input-voltage range,
- permits a wide output-voltage swing,
- has a differential transconductance gain that is nearly independent of $V_{\rm cm}$, and
- requires only *npn* transistors in the signal path.

We provided DC measurements from a prototype circuit, breadboarded from a quad TPQ3904 and a thick-film resistor array, demonstrating operation on a single-ended 1.2-V power supply.





Inverted Differential Pair Frequency Response

- We use the circuit shown to the right to compute the frequency response of the inverted differential pair.
- Assuming that $C \gg C_{\rm m}$, $C_{\rm b} \gg C_{\rm m}, \beta \gg 1, g_{\rm m}R \gg 1$, and $g_{\rm m} (r_{\rm on} || 2r_{\rm ob}) \gg 1$, we can show that



$$G_{\rm dm} \equiv \frac{\delta I_{1,2}}{\delta V_{\rm dm}} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{g_{\rm m}}{1 + m + 2g_{\rm m}R/\beta} \cdot \frac{1 - \tau_3 s_{\rm m}}{1 + \tau_1 s_{\rm m}}$$





9

Inverted Differential Pair Frequency Response

$$G_{\rm cm} \equiv \frac{\delta I_{1,2}}{\delta V_{\rm cm}} = \frac{1}{m} \cdot \frac{1}{(R/m \|r_{\rm on}\| 2r_{\rm ob})} \cdot \frac{(1 - \tau_3 s) (1 + \tau_2 s)}{(1 + (\tau_4^2 / (\tau_1 \| \tau_2))) s}$$

and

$$CMRR \equiv \frac{G_{dm}}{G_{cm}} = \frac{m}{2} \cdot \frac{g_{m} \left(\frac{R}{m} \| r_{on} \| 2r_{ob} \right)}{1 + m + 2g_{m} R/\beta} \cdot \frac{1 + \left(\frac{\tau_{4}^{2}}{(\tau_{1} \| \tau_{2})} \right)}{(1 + \tau_{1} s) (1 + \tau_{1} s)}$$

where

$$\tau_1 \equiv (R/(1+m) \|\beta/2g_{\rm m}) C_{\rm b} \qquad \tau_3 \equiv C_{\rm m}/g_{\rm m}$$

$$\tau_2 \equiv (R/m \|r_{\rm on}\|r_{\rm ob}) C/2 \qquad \tau_4 \equiv \sqrt{(C_{\rm b}/g_{\rm m}) (R_{\rm b})}$$





 $\frac{+\tau_2 s}{s+\tau_4^2 s^2},$

 $(\tau_2))s + \tau_4^2 s^2$, $(1 + \tau_2 s)$,

 $\overline{RC/2m}$.